

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,045	BRADLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KITO R. ROBINSON	3692

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KITO R. ROBINSON

(3) SUSANNA DIAZ

(2) NATHAN SIAON

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.14-16, 24 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney gave Examiner permission to make an examiner's amendment to add the limitations of claim 14 to claims 1, 24 & 31 and to change dependent claims 15 & 16 to depend on independent claim 1. Also, permission was giving to cancel claims 14, 17-23 & 25-30. These amendments place the applicant's invention in issuance for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kito R. Robinson/
Examiner, Art Unit 3692